

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No. : 10/644,236
Filing Date : August 20, 2003
Inventor : Randall Kenneth Payne
Title : Medical Imaging System with Tissue-Selective Image Sharpening
Examiner : Charles Kim (Chong R. Kim)
Art Unit : 2624
Old Attorney Docket : 390086.95401
New Attorney Docket : 131075
Confirmation No. : 6053
Customer No. : 61604

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8(a) and 1.10

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

Mailing

☐ deposited with the U. S. Postal Service in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Preliminary Amendment, Commissioner for Patents,
P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

37 CFR 1.8(a)

☐ with sufficient postage as first class mail

37 CFR 1.10

☐ As "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Mailing Label No. _____

Transmission

☐ transmitted by facsimile to Fax No. (703) 872 - 9306 addressed to Examiner _____ at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

☒ electronically transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office using EFS-Web.

Date: 06/12/07

Angela Schulz

Mail Stop Response
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Response

Dear Sir:

Introductory Comments begin on:	page 2 of 10
Amended Specification begins on:	Page 3 of 10
Amended Claims begin on:	Page 4 of 10
Remarks begin on:	Page 8 of 10
Conclusion begins on:	Page 9 of 10
Extension of Time begins on:	Page 10 of 10

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

After careful review, Applicant hereby responds to a January 12, 2007 non-final Office Action regarding the above-referenced patent application. In view of this Response, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of this application.

Applicant has not added new matter with this Response. Indeed, Applicant only offers this Response to clarify the invention and to facilitate an understanding of the same. More specifically, Applicant has not intended this Response to effectuate a narrowing of the claims, foreclose techniques that are not reasonably foreseeable at this time, or effect the applicability and scope of the Doctrine of Equivalents.